

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,946	02/25/2004	Alex Stolarz	8130.0096	9516	
22852 FINNEGAN, H	7590 01/16/200 IENDERSON FARAF	EXAMINER			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			PATTERSON, MARC A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1772		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/784,946	STOLARZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marc A. Patterson	1772	

	Marc A. Patterson	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause				
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further colling (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling and the present additional claims are considered and the pre	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	iowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	umely nied amendine	ant canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	will not be entered, or b)	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-42,51,52,54-58,60-62,64-71 and 74</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	<u>-76</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
 12.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	•						

ADVISORY ACTION

Acknowledgement of Applicant's Amendments

1. The amendment made in Claim 26 in the After Final Amendment filed December 20, 2006 has not been entered because the amendment raises a new issue. Claim 26, prior to amendment, was not directed to a hollow body comprising 'an inner space, which towards the outer side is enclosed by said inner layer, and wherein the hollow body is limited towards the outer space by way of an outer layer.' The application also did not contain newly submitted Claim 77. The amendment therefore raises issues which would require further search and consideration to be fully considered, and the amendment has therefore not been entered. The phrases 'the outer side' and 'the outer space' also do not have sufficient antecedent basis, and are therefore indefinite.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

2. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 26 – 39, 41 – 42, 51 – 52, 54 – 58, 60 – 62, 64 – 66, 68 – 71, and 74 – 76 as being anticipated by Flepp et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,555,243) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 40 and 67 as being unpatentable over Flepp et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,555,243), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below

Applicant argues, on page 11 of the remarks dated December 20, 2006, that Flepp et al do not disclose a hollow body comprising an inner space, which towards the outer side is enclosed by said inner layer, and wherein the hollow body is limited towards the outer space by way of an outer layer.

However, as stated above, Claim 26, prior to amendment, was not directed to a hollow body comprising 'an inner space, which towards the outer side is enclosed by said inner layer, and wherein the hollow body is limited towards the outer space by way of an outer layer.' The application also did not contain newly submitted Claim 77. The amendment therefore raises issues which would require further search and consideration to be fully considered, and the amendment has therefore not been entered.

Applicant also argues, on page 11, that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the claimed inner and outer layers are innermost and outermost layers.

However, as innermost and outermost layers are not claimed, it is not clear why one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the claimed inner and outer layers are innermost and outermost layers.

Applicant also argues on page 11 that amended Claim 26 clarifies that the claimed inner and outer layers are innermost and outermost layers.

However, it is unclear how amended Claim 26 clarifies that the claimed inner and outer layers are innermost and outermost layers; in other words, it is unclear how other layers, inside and outside of the claimed layers, are excluded by amended Claim 26. It is noted, as stated above, that the phrases 'the outer side' and 'the outer space' also do not have sufficient antecedent basis, and are therefore indefinite.

Applicant also argues on page 12, that Flepp et al do not disclose an innermost and outermost layer immediately adjacent to an intermediate layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,946 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

However, as stated above, Claim 26, prior to amendment, was not directed to a hollow body comprising 'an inner space, which towards the outer side is enclosed by said inner layer, and wherein the hollow body is limited towards the outer space by way of an outer layer.'

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc A. Patterson, PhD. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772